

### Remarks

The restriction requirement indicates that each of the original independent claims corresponds to a patentably distinct species of the present invention. As a result, the species corresponding to claim 3 is hereby elected.

As a result of the election of the species corresponding to claim 3, non-elected claims 1, 2 and 4-33 have been cancelled without prejudice or disclaimer to the subject matter contained therein.


Further, new claims 34-42 have been added. It is submitted that new claims 34-42 also correspond to the same species as claim 3. As a result, claims 34-42 should also be examined in conjunction with claim 3.

In view of the above amendments and remarks, examination of the application on the merits is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

Kazuto HIROKAWA et al.

By:

  
David M. Ovedovitz  
Registration No. 45,376  
Attorney for Applicants

DMO/jmj  
Washington, D.C. 20006-1021  
Telephone (202) 721-8200  
Facsimile (202) 721-8250  
April 21, 2005